Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/020,104	MONTGOMERY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kieu D Vu	2173	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Kieu D Vu</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ben Volk</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 August 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 33-34  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner requests the clarification on the dependency of claims 33-34 but does not get the result.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
Kreu Benly)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No.

Applicant(s)